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ABSTRACT
This paper outlines the recent development of a series of detailed guidelines known as “Deployment Packages” (DPs) for use with the emerging ISO/IEC 29110 software process lifecycle standard for Very Small Entities (VSEs). Such DPs are intended to provide detailed guidelines and explanation presenting in more detail the processes defined in the ISO/IEC 29110 profiles. This paper will also outline a pilot project initiative currently underway to evaluate these Deployment Packages and assist very small companies in understanding and exploring the potential usage of an international software process development standard.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Industry recognizes that very small companies that develop software systems are very important to the economy. For such companies failure to deliver on time, within budget a quality product threatens the competitiveness of both organizations. One way to mitigate these risks is by having all suppliers of a product chain to put in place recognized engineering practices. Many international standards and models like ISO/IEC12207 or CMMI have been developed to capture proven engineering practices. However, these standards were not designed for very small companies and are consequently difficult to apply in such settings.

This section discusses the concepts of small and very small companies and issues surrounding the adoption of software process standards by such companies, prior to an introduction to ISO/IEC 29110 and the development of a series of Deployment Packages to support the implementation of ISO/IEC 29110 process practices.

1.1 Very Small Entities (VSEs)
The definition of “Small” and “Very Small” Entities is challenging ambiguous, as there is no commonly accepted definition of the terms. For example, the participants of the 1995 Capability Maturity Model (CMM) tailoring workshop [1] could not even agree on what “small” really meant. Subsequently in 1998 SEPG conference panel on the CMM and small projects small was defined as “3-4 months in duration with 5 or fewer staff”. Johnson and Brodman [2] define a small organization as “fewer than 50 software developers and a small project as fewer than 20 software developers”.

To take a legalistic perspective the European Commission [3] defines three levels of small to medium-sized enterprise (SME) as being: Small to medium - “employ fewer than 250 persons and which have an annual turnover not exceeding 50 million Euro, and/or an annual balance sheet total not exceeding 43 million Euro”; Small - “which employ fewer than 50 persons, and whose annual turnover and/or annual balance sheet total does not exceed 10 million Euro” and Micro - “which employ fewer than 10 persons and whose annual turnover does not exceed 2 million euro”.

To better understand the dichotomy between the definitions above it is necessary to examine the size of software companies operating in the market today. In Europe, for instance, 85% of the Information Technology (IT) sector's companies have 1 to 10 employees. In the context of indigenous Irish software firms 1.9% (10 companies), out of a total of 630 employed more than 100 employees. In the context of indigenous Irish software firms being about 16 employees. In Canada, the Montreal area was surveyed, it was found that 78% of software development enterprises have less than 25 employees and 50% have fewer than 10 employees [5]. In Brazil, small IT companies (less than 50 employees) represent about 70% of the total number of companies [6].

The term “very small entity” had been defined by the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 Working Group (WG) 24 and subsequently adopted for use in the emerging ISO/IEC 29110 software process lifecycle standard [7], as being “an entity (enterprise, organization, department or project) having up to 25 people”.

1.2 VSE and Standards
In a time when software quality is a key to competitive advantage, the use of ISO/IEC systems and software engineering standards remains limited to a few of the most popular ones. Research shows that VSEs can find it difficult to relate ISO/IEC standards to their business needs and to justify the application of the standards to their business practices. Most of these VSEs can’t afford the resources - in number of employees, cost, and time - or see a net benefit in establishing software life-cycle processes.
There is sometimes a disconnect between the short-term vision of the company, looking at what will keep it in business for another six months or so, and the long-term benefits of gradually improving the ways the company can manage its software development and maintenance. A primary reason cited by many small software companies for this lack of adoption of such ISO standards, is the perception that they have been developed for large multi-national software companies and not with the small organisation in mind [4]. Subsequently, VSEs have no or very limited ways to be recognized as enterprises that produce quality software systems in their domain and may therefore be cut off from some economic activities.

Accordingly there is a need to help such organizations understand and use the concepts, processes and practices proposed in the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7’s international software engineering standards.

2. ISO/IEC 29110

From the VSE perspective, there are many potential benefits of using standards which are specifically designed to address their needs including good internal software management processes, greater customer confidence and satisfaction, greater software product quality, increased sponsorship for process improvement and decreased development risk. These benefits might also help the VSE in increasing competitiveness and market share.

The ISO/IEC 29110 standard “Lifecycle profiles for Very Small Entities” [7] is aimed at addressing the issues identified above and addresses the specific needs of VSEs [8].

The approach [8] used to develop ISO/IEC 29110 started with the pre-existing international standard ISO/IEC 12207 [9] dedicated to software process lifecycles. The overall approach consisted of three steps: (1) Selecting ISO/IEC 12207 process subset applicable to VSEs of less than 10 employees; (2) Tailor the subset to fit VSE needs; and (3) Develop guidelines for VSEs.


It is worth noting that as with all proposed ISO standards, ISO/IEC 29110 is subject to the normal ISO review process. To date 1249 comments have been processed, over four meetings between 2008 and 2009.

2.1 VSE Profiles

ISO/IEC 29110 makes use of the concept of International Standardized Profile (ISP), where an ISP profiles promotes the integration of base standards by defining how to use a combination of base standards for a given function and environment. In addition to the selection of base standards, a choice is made of permitted options for each base standard and of suitable values for parameters left unspecified in the base standard.

The core characteristic of the entities targeted by ISO/IEC 29110 is size, however there are other aspects and characteristics of VSEs that may affect profile preparation or selection, such as: Business Models (commercial, contracting, in-house development, etc.); Situational factors (such as criticality, uncertainty environment, etc.); and Risk Levels. Creating one profile for each possible combination of values of the various dimensions introduced above would result in an unmanageable set of profiles. Accordingly VSE’s profiles are grouped in such a way as to be applicable to more than one category. Table 1 illustrates a Profile Group which contains three profiles (labeled A, B and C) that are mapped to nine combinations of business models and situational factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile Groups</th>
<th>Business Models</th>
<th>Critical</th>
<th>User Uncertainty</th>
<th>Environment Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-House</td>
<td>Profile C</td>
<td>Profile B</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Profile B</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
<td>Profile A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Profile Groups are a collection of profiles which are related either by composition of processes (i.e. activities, tasks), or by capability level, or both. The “Generic” profile group has been defined [12] as applicable to a vast majority of VSEs that do not develop critical software and have typical situational factors. This profile group does not imply any specific application domain, however, it is envisaged that in the future new domain-specific sub-profiles may be developed in the future.

Table 2 illustrates this profile group as a collection of four profiles, providing a progressive approach to satisfying the requirements of profile group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Profile Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To date the Basic Profile [10] has been specified, the purpose of which is to define a software development and project management guide for a subset of processes and outcomes appropriate for characteristics and needs of VSEs. The main reason to include project management is that VSEs core business is software development and their financial success depends on project profits. In order to benefit from the use of the Basic Profile, the VSE needs to comply with the following requirements:

- Project contract or agreement with Statement of Work
- The feasibility assessment was performed before the start of the project
- Human resources are assigned and trained
- A Project Manager Assigned
- Goods, Services and Infrastructures available

However, the issues of assistance to VSEs in understanding and adopting standards, as outlined in section 1, must be addressed.
To this end, some members of the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 WG 24 have produced a set of “Deployment Packages”.

3. DEPLOYMENT PACKAGES

At the Moscow meeting of WG24, it was proposed, by Canada, to develop, as detailed guidelines, a series of deployment packages (DPs), to define guidelines explaining in more details the processes defined in the ISO/IEC 29110 profiles. The intention is that these guidelines will be published as ISO Technical Reports which should be freely accessible to VSEs.

A DP is a set of artifacts developed to facilitate the implementation of a set of practices, of the selected framework, in a VSE. A DP is not a process reference model (i.e. it is not prescriptive). The elements of a typical DP are: description of processes, activities, tasks, roles and products, template, checklist, example, reference and mapping to standards and models, and a list of tools. The mapping is only given as information to show that a deployment package has explicit links to standards, such as ISO/IEC 12207, or models, such as the CMMI for Development, hence by deploying and implementing the package, a VSE can see its concrete step to achieve or demonstrate coverage. Packages are designed such that a VSE can implement its content, without having to implement the complete framework at the same time. The table of content of a deployment package is illustrated in figure 1.

Figure 1. Table of Content of a deployment package.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Technical Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose of this document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why this Topic is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Definitions (Generic and Specific Definitions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Relationships with ISO/IEC 29110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Detailed Description of Processes, Activities, Tasks, Steps, Roles and Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artefact Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Templates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Checklists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Reference to Other Standards and Models (ISO/IEC 12207, ISO 9001, CMMI for Development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Deployment Package Evaluation Form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the DPs have been developed to date and the developing partner. These DPs are freely available from [13]:

3.1 Implementation Guides

In addition a series of “Implementation Guides” have been developed to help implement a specific process supported by a tool and are freely available from [13]. To date the following implementation guides have been developed:

- Version Control with CVS
- Version Control with SVN
- Project Management with GForge
- Issue tracking with GForge
- Software Process Improvement with OpenOffice Calc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deployment Package title</th>
<th>Developed By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirement Analysis</td>
<td>Belgium, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture and Detailed Design</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and Unit Testing</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration and Test</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification and Validation</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version Control</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Delivery</td>
<td>Canada, Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Assessment</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Pilot Projects

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 WG 24 are advocating the use of pilot projects as a mean to accelerate the adoption and utilization of ISO/IEC 29110 by VSEs. Pilot projects are an important mean of reducing risks and learning more about the organizational and technical issues associated with the deployment of new software engineering practices. A successful pilot project is also an effective means of building adoption of new practices by members of a VSE. Pilot projects are based on the ISO/IEC 29110-5 Management and Engineering Guide [10] and the deployment package(s). In particular these are aimed to collect, as a minimum, the following data:

- Effort and time to deploy by the VSE
- Usefulness for the VSE
- Verification of the understanding of the VSE
- Self-assessments data - A self-assessment at the beginning of the pilot and at the end of the pilot project DP

The members of WG24 requested a set of guidelines such that pilot projects are conducted similarly around the world. It was agreed to provide a Deployment Package to describe a process to conduct pilot projects. The purpose of this Deployment Package, developed by Canada and Uruguay, is to provide tailor able and usable guidelines and materials in order to select and conduct pilot projects in VSEs. The high-level tasks of this Deployment Package are:

- Assess the opportunity to conduct a pilot project,
- Plan the pilot project,
- Conduct the pilot project, and
- Evaluate the results of the pilot project.
To date a series of pilot projects have been completed in Canada utilizing some of the deployment packages developed. For example in Canada a pilot study has been conducted with an IT department with a staff of 4; 1 analyst and 3 developers, who were involved in the translation and implemented 3 DPs: Software Requirements, Version Control, Project Management. In Belgium a VSE of 25 people started with a process assessment phase aiming to identify strengths and weaknesses in development related processes. This company is now working on improvement actions mainly based on the following Deployment Packages: Requirement Analysis, Version Control, Project Management. Finally in Ireland a VSE of 8 people are working on improving project management, tracking and control practices using the Project Management deployment package.

A further series of pilot projects are currently underway in Canada, Ireland, Belgium and France, with further pilot projects planned in the near future [14].

5. Future Work
As ISO/IEC 29110 is an emerging standard there is much work yet to be completed. The main remaining work item is to finalise the development of the remaining three profiles: (a) Entry - six person-months effort or start-up VSEs; (b) Intermediate - Management of more than one project and (c) Advanced - business management and portfolio management practices. In addition, the development of additional Profile Groups for other domains such as: Critical software, game industry, scientific software development, etc.

So far, the means to accelerate the adoption of standards by VSEs has been described. A target audience, and an often forgotten one, in the area of software engineering standards comprise undergraduate and graduate students. At the Hyderabad meeting, the delegate from Canada proposed the establishment of an informal interest group about education. The main objective is to develop a set of courses for software undergraduate and graduate students such that students learn about the ISO standards for VSEs before they graduate. Work is already underway on the development of self-learning course modules to support DPs via a VSE Education Special Interest Group. To date four of the six courses have been developed and are freely available [15].

Finally, the technical development of plug-in modules (e.g. Eclipse) to support DPs is worthy of consideration.
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